

OBSERVATIONS

ON A

PAMPHLET,

ENTITLED,

K
“Christianity not founded on Argument.”

By a CHRISTIAN FREETHINKER,

Who apprehends that INFIDELS cannot be *effectually* answered, on any PRINCIPLES less general, than those which he has adopted.

✍ The only apology he can make for publishing (at this distance of time) animadversions on a pamphlet printed so long since, is, that he has just now (and never before) perused it with that design.

LONDON,

Printed for W. WILKIE, in St. Paul's Church-Yard.

MDCCLXV.

[Price One Shilling.]

457



ADVERTISEMENT.

THE author of the following observations having often, in the course of his own reading, regretted the want of ample, and fair quotations, from the writers whose works have been criticised by others; has thought it would be much more convenient, and, consequently, more acceptable to his readers, to lay before them the passages of the writer, on whom he animadverts, more fully than is customary, and in his own words; both, that he might do justice to that writer, and also, that his own reasoning might be more readily comprehended; and this instead of referring a reader to

to pages of a pamphlet which he may not have in his hands.

And though the Bible is supposed to be in every one's possession, yet when texts are referred to, only, without citing the words, few readers will give themselves the trouble to turn to every passage, while reading; how proper, and reasonable soever it may be so to do; these therefore are also cited at length, as they occur; which practice the author has frequently wished were more general.

ERRATA.

Page 2. line 10. for *church-transubstantiation*, read *church, transubstantiation*,—p. 6. l. 13. dele *as*—p. 7. l. 7. for *justy* read *justly*—l. 17. for *Creature* read *Creator*—p. 17. l. 7. for *approbriously* read *approbriously*—l. 9. for *contratitions* read *construtions*—p. 19. l. 14. for *this* read *they*—p. 34. l. 7. for *of the Cape?* read *a native of the Cape?*—p. 36. l. 30. for *repeated* read *reputed*—p. 40. l. 32. for *more* read *mere*

OBSER-

OBSERVATIONS

On the PAMPHLET, entitled,

“Christianity not founded on Argument.”

In a LETTER to a young Gentleman at *Oxford*.

THIRD EDITION, 1746.

THIS piece is, apparently, a continued irony from beginning to end; and there is no room to doubt, but that the author himself would despise the understanding of any reader who should take him seriously.

It is however to be lamented, that so ample a field should be afforded him for the display of his sophistry, and that so many unnecessary and indefensible ramparts should be raised, which only expose an, otherwise, impregnable citadel to the attacks of his masked batteries: for, to speak without a figure, if the defenders of the Gospel would content themselves with asserting, and maintaining pure, original Christianity, in its primitive simplicity, without any human additions, they might *easily* baffle their most subtle adversaries; whereas, by weak and inconsistent systems, creeds, articles, and catechisms which cannot be authorised, or supported by Scripture, they render the best cause in the world liable to the assaults of in-

A B fidels,

fidels, who groundlessly triumph on the demolition of those outworks, as if they had effectually carried the place. And, in truth, the misfortune is, that the generality of Christians being such merely by education, know not how to separate the factitious parts from the essence of Christianity, and are therefore staggered, if not confounded, by the specious arguments which they cannot unravel. Thus, if the infallibility of the pope, or the church-transubstantiation, the miracles of modern saints, and other matters, held for certain and sacred, are exposed, a vulgar Roman catholic apprehends Christianity itself endangered; and, in like manner, among Lutherans, Calvinists, and other sects, if their distinguishing tenets are examined, and some of them shewn to be false, and absurd, and incompatible with the attributes of the Deity, as well as with Scripture, such who are of any of these persuasions are apt to imagine Christianity can no longer be defended than while their systems are respectively secured.

The argumentation, of the author in question, is altogether *ad hominem*, or rather *ad Anglicanum*; for he proceeds throughout upon this supposition as granted, That the profession of the church of England, and Christianity, are the same thing : and it were to be wished that this supposition did not furnish so many advantages to his cause; for he is too sagacious a writer not to see, that had he confined himself wholly and honestly to the Scriptures, and to such a fair and candid interpretation of them, as he seems well qualified to have made, his representation of this religion must have appeared extremely different from what he has exhibited.

A few

A few instances will fully justify this assertion.
Page 9. his words are :

“ Can a man be baptized into a rational religion? Where is reason concerned, when babes accept the terms of salvation by deputy, and are entitled to all the privileges of the most extensive faith by another’s act? By the baptismal ceremony, they commence true believers at once, and are made heirs of heaven, *you know*, by the faith of their bondsmen, whilst as yet they have not the least share or symptom of understanding themselves, and may in all possibility never live to attain one; and which, if they had at any time, I know not yet how they could well give a rational assent by proxy; yet such is the pleasure and ordinance of God himself in this point.”

Here is an appeal to a member of the church of England, who will find it difficult to reply to such a ridiculous remonstrance with *you know*, &c. but what is this to the purpose, or how is real Christianity affected? If it be true, as it most certainly is, that there is no precept in the New Testament, for infant-baptism, nor any warrant, *there*, for asserting an imputed faith (by such means) without which they could not be saved : much less for sponsors, or for the pretence at the close of this passage, that, *such is the pleasure and ordinance of God himself in this point*. Which he could not have had the confidence to say, if he had not addressed himself to one who could not contradict him without departing from his professed principles. — Whereas one of a different persuasion, or a Christian at large, might easily have

silenced him, on this head, and rescued his religion from so absurd a charge.

Again, p. 15.—“ let his (*i. e. of a person searching after the truth*) “ natural obligations, as he
 “ may pretend, be never so obvious, and self-evident, and his practice never so strictly conformable; what if without this previous acquisition, and devout temper, I contend for, the best of lives is no circumstance to recommend, or qualify us for *any regard* from the throne of grace? *Good works done before faith*, and without the especial influence of this saving principle, are so far from being accepted in themselves, as the terms of a complete obedience, that they do not so much as put men into a nearer state for favour, or a capacity of being the sooner enlightened; they do not, as our church expresses it, make men *meet to receive grace*. The exactest observer of moral laws is a vile and wretched sinner in God’s account, as long as he proceeds by human lights, and motives, and upon the strength of mere ethics only. Nay, even his most virtuous actions themselves are highly criminal, and displeasing to God, as long as he continues in such a disposition of mind, as they are undoubtedly of a nature corrupted, and unregenerate, *for whatsoever is not of faith, is sin*.—The unquiet essays of reasoning, curious disquisitions upon his being, and attributes, and the truth, and authenticity of revelation, are practices utterly inconsistent with the pretensions of all such as *call themselves Christians*, or expect any benefit from the Gospel-covenant, to which they

"are always presumed parties. *We must be proved of our creed before we are warranted to pray so much as for faith itself. We must not lift up our hands to heaven with doubting.*"

This passage contains notions utterly inconsistent with the divine attributes, contrary to reason, and to the whole tenor of Scripture, as well as particularly injurious to the Gospel of Christ. As for the Scriptures, they abound with general expressions subversive of such doctrines, such as, *In every nation he that feareth God, and worketh righteousness, is accepted of him.* And Rom. ii. 14, 15. *The Gentiles which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, these having not the law, are a law unto themselves: which shew the work of the law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witness, and their thoughts the mean while accusing, or else excusing one another.* And iv. 15. *Where no law is, there is no transgression.* And Micah vi. 3. *What doth the Lord require of thee, but to do justly, and to love mercy, and to walk humbly with thy God?* — With a multitude of such like, shewing the Deity's approbation and acceptance of a moral conduct. In the Gospel, besides the sermon on the mount, and the representation of the last day by Christ, when every man shall be finally judged by the works he has done, good or bad, and many passages to the same purpose, there is a particular recital of a conversation with one who came running and kneeling to Jesus, Mark x. 17. &c. to whom after recommending the keeping of the commandments, and the person's answering that he had kept them from his youth, it is said, v. 21. *Then*

Then Jesus beholding him, loved him.—It is true, he lacked one thing, and that was charity, another moral virtue, but not such an enthusiastic and groundless faith as this writer pretends to be necessary: (see p. 10, 11, 12, 13.) and though he wanted that one thing, yet Jesus loved him for what he had already attained. And he pronounced, on a like occasion, to one of the Scribes who had answered, That to love God with all the heart, and understanding, and soul, and strength, and to love his neighbour as himself, was more than all whole burnt-offerings and sacrifices, as that he was not far from the kingdom of God, Mark xii. 34. And the case of Cornelius, Acts, chap. x. is in point, and directly contradicts this author's assertion in the last quoted passage, viz. "*That the best of lives is no*" "*circumstance* to recommend, or qualify us for "*any regard from the throne of grace; good*" "*works done before faith, &c. are so far from*" "*being accepted (as the terms of a complete*" "*obedience) that they do not so much as put men*" "*into a nearer state for favour, or a capacity of*" "*being the sooner enlightened; they do not, as*" "*our church expresses it, make men meet to receive*" "*grace;*" with the rest of this passage, as above cited.—On the contrary, the very reason given why new light was afforded to Cornelius, was, that his good works, his prayers, and his alms *were come up for a memorial* before God. See v. 4. of the above chapter.

Again, p. 17. "We must (he says) if reason
" be the allotted guide, be obliged to wait at least
" till that reason can bring us her report. Mean
" while,

“ while, in this infidel interim, should death surprise us, what shall now become of our hopes?
 “ —You will examine, you say; *thou fool, this night shall thy soul be required of thee.* When death has once overtaken us unfurnished of our passport;”—with much more to the same purpose. To all which it may very justly be answered, that one in such a state (*i. e.*) supposed to be sincerely searching after truth, with a firm resolution to abide by the result, and follow the dictates of his conscience, and in the mean time practising all the moral duties,—is in a very safe way, and will, certainly, be accepted by a merciful God. *Shall not the judge of all the earth do right?* Will he condemn an innocent creature humbly and honestly examining, only in order to know, that he may obey the will of his Creature, and who is actually in the habit of doing all that he, at present, knows to be his duty, and who thinks this very search also his duty, that he may not be mistaken, or prejudiced in regard to it?

Is there any thing in Scripture to discountenance such a conduct? On the contrary, Christ himself directs it, John v. 39. *Search the Scriptures, for in them ye think ye have eternal life, &c.* And are not the Bereans commended for a like behaviour? In short, if this be not justifiable, and acceptable in the sight of God, what is the consequence? why, that it is the duty of every man to be, *blindly, and without examination*, of the religion in which he happens to have been educated, be it ever so absurd; and if so, the Christian religion will have no preference to any other.

It is not to be questioned but this writer himself really thinks in the same manner, and that he has chosen the ironical way of treating the subject, only to expose the absurdity of modern systems.

Page 20, 21, 22. " All the ingenious applications of mysterious prophecies, and their references, are, in my opinion, never to be mentioned as arguments, and means of conviction in behalf of a truth destined to be universally received. The learned, and profound calculation of Daniel's weeks, if religious faith were a matter of mere speculation, would go far to tempt me, perhaps, into a favourable opinion of the criticism, and justness of the conjecture. The excellent analogy of reason, and revelation, lately communicated, might induce me yet more powerfully to acknowledge, at least, a very great, and specious appearance of truth in its traced connexions, and inferences, if the subject were indifferent in its consequences, and I were left to myself to pronounce freely what occurred naturally to my mind, from the consideration of it. But when I consider all these enlightening lucubrations as proofs actually insisted on, and whose force I am bound to admit, as calculated for the general, and ready use of all those to whom the precept of believing is address; or, in short, as that which any part of the evidence of Christianity is to stand upon, or depend for its support; however I may honour the sagacious authors of these new lights for their particular discoveries, I cannot but draw to myself very different consequences from those they seem to expect from
" their

“ their proposal of them. Instead of availing to
 “ convince my reason of the truth of any parti-
 “ cular religious system, they have a just con-
 “ trary effect, and suggest strongly to me, that
 “ such a position can never be that necessary truth
 “ which stands in need of such far-fetched apo-
 “ logies and laboured accounts to reconcile, and
 “ explain it. I consider all these as, in truth,
 “ they are, inventions of late date; and there-
 “ fore, at best, superfluous towards the main de-
 “ sign, since religion must needs, to my think-
 “ ing, have been equally evident, and well-esta-
 “ blished, long before ever these new defences
 “ were so happily thought of. Whenever I re-
 “ flect with myself, upon the general importance,
 “ and end of religion, I cannot found its evidence,
 “ by any means, on any complication of circum-
 “ stances to be traced out by industry, or address,
 “ for the illustration of its authority; I cannot but
 “ form to myself some more simple characteristic
 “ of full sufficiency always accompanying it. I
 “ cannot but conclude in my mind, that the
 “ whole force of its evidence must have been co-
 “ temporary with the original institution itself,
 “ and have been entirely complete for the pur-
 “ pose ever since its first publication; that ne-
 “ cessary truths were not revealed by halves, and
 “ left to be perfected afterwards, at leisure, by
 “ the efforts of human wit; that the evidence of
 “ the Gospel must have this one property of its
 “ great Author, to be the same yesterday, to-day,
 “ and for ever, and consequently must have been
 “ equally plain, and affecting to such as never
 “ lived to be in the way of these supplemental

“ revelations, and who never heard a syllable, in
 “ their lives, of *weeks*, or *anologies*.”

Indeed what he says in this admirable paragraph, is not only to his general purpose, but just in itself, and from whence it may be reasonably concluded (as he suggests) that the bulk of mankind are, by no means, qualified, either by natural abilities, learning, or leisure, to enter into such disquisitions; nor need they, for they will be obliged to act, in the affair of religion, as they, necessarily do, in many of the other important concerns of life, that is, they must acquire the best information, and instruction they can, and use their own judgment with it, as far as that will go, in the more difficult points; for in many others, more plain, and easy, they will have less occasion to depend on these helps; and they may assure themselves, that all involuntary errors, or mistakes, will be deemed innocent, nor will it, or can it be expected, that all should think exactly alike, or be absolutely in the right in all speculative points, as this writer pretends.

However, it seems reasonable to suppose (with him) that the evidence of Christianity cannot depend on late discoveries, and criticisms of modern authors; for if it was not sufficiently evident, in former ages, and to the present, without these learned labours, and also to such as are not qualified to understand, and digest them, it must needs want that universal, and plain proof, which should bring conviction to every mind that is open, and willing to receive the truth; and if it has not such constantly attending it, in all ages, and all places, where it is, and has been proposed, then

then the Christians of those ages, and places, have embraced it without proof, or with no better than might have attended any false religion, and therefore this may be false for any thing they could, or can know to the contrary.

If it be asked, on what then does the evidence of Christianity depend? the answer will be, on the Scriptures of the Old and New Testament, but especially the New. And if it be farther asked, how we know who wrote the books that compose it, when they were written, and whether we have them as first written, with many other questions relating to their authenticity? it must be answered, that these things are known, as like circumstances are known with respect to any other ancient writings; that is, by common, or universal tradition, uncontradicted; and these can no more be rejected, than such others the best received, and most acknowledged, without renouncing the principles on which we, and all men act, and must act; that is to say, that in things, the nature of which do not admit of demonstration, we must, and do content ourselves with probability, and in many cases rest as truly, and as reasonably convinced, as by demonstration in other cases; and even where there are opposite probabilities, the greater ought to determine us; and this kind of general evidence always attends the Gospel wherever this religion is professed, and very eminently in our own country, where are also many collateral helps, and advantages within the reach, and suited to the capacities of the meanest, who, with such a degree of attention, and application only, as is in every man's power, may

abundantly satisfy himself. Nor is it requisite, for this purpose, that he should employ more time, and consideration, than he may well spare from his other necessary avocations; nor is more his duty; for, after all, there will be, and must be, many different degrees of faith, as well as of knowledge, among mankind; nor can it, in the nature of things, be otherwise, while some have greater, and others less natural and acquired abilities, some more, and others less leisure, besides a number of casual, and adventitious differences in other circumstances; wherefore nothing can be more absurd, than insisting on the necessity of a perfect orthodoxy, which is, and always will be impossible; and therefore cannot be required. And though the generality will be excused with this kind of conduct, because nothing more is in their power; it does not thence follow that no more will be expected of some others; for to whom *much* is given, from them *much* will be required; and an improvement in proportion to the talents with which they are entrusted; these therefore are properly employed in such researches; and much of that light they may acquire, in these studies, may also be communicated to the understandings, and even satisfaction of those who could never, of themselves, have made the discoveries; as really happens in many other cases; so that they are, by no means, useless, though few are qualified to make them.

Page 22. he says, " There might be still some
 " faint shew of foundation or pretence, perhaps,
 " for our endeavouring to multiply this kind of
 " improved hints, and speculative refinements
 " upon

" upon the subject, if the candidates for heaven
 " were all philosophers, and scholars. But we
 " are to remember always, that the terms of
 " salvation are equally calculated for the benefit
 " of such as cannot so much as read; as they
 " were chiefly published to the world by men
 " actually under that incapacity themselves. In
 " fact, it is notorious that the *most*, and I might
 " add, the *best* of every religious profession, do
 " never concern themselves, the least, in any ar-
 " gumentative tasks, or would indeed be ever the
 " wiser for it, if they did; and those few who
 " pretend, or perhaps flatter themselves, that
 " they are indeed *rationaly convinced* in the point,
 " may yet recollect, if they will fairly own the
 " truth, that they were *equally convinced* before
 " the trial, or, at least, had strong presages be-
 " forehand, on which side the truth lay, and
 " *intended*, in some measure, to be *convinced* at
 " setting out."

This is, indeed, declaring, that *ignorance* is
the mother of devotion; for he roundly asserts, not
 only that the *most*, but the *best* of every religious
 profession, examine *the least* into the grounds of
 their religion; plainly insinuating, that the more
 they examined, the less they would believe, and as
 plainly recommending to every one, of every sect,
 to continue in *that* of their education, whatever
 it may be; for nothing less can be inferred from
 the whole tenor of this passage: which conduct,
 if it be right, and had always been adopted, the
 Christian religion itself (which he pretends
 to defend) would never have been received
 in the world, and the utter rejection of it,

every

every where, would (on these principles) be justified.

To shew that he is not misrepresented, and in confirmation of the above remarks, take what he says in p. 27.—“ it is the religious man’s resolution, as it is his duty, to persist in the faith he has once espoused, to his life’s end.—Reduce a man once by argument from his original prejudices, however gross and absurd, and that to the most just, and well-grounded persuasion in nature, and see the consequence. Will the attachment of such a proselyte to his new light, bear any degree of proportion, ever after, to that he once expressed for those occult, and mysterious articles, which he had derived, without a syllable of controversy, from the lessons of his parents?”—P. 28. “ The very presuming that we have a right to call these matters to a re-hearing, and abilities to decide in them for ourselves, is an effectual step taken towards throwing off, for the future, all that obsequious awe, and dependance, which is the life of this cause.”

Can the design of the writer be mistaken by an attentive reader? Is it not evident, beyond a doubt, that he thinks established forms cannot be otherwise maintained, than by recommending, and inculcating an ignorant, blind acquiescence in, the teaching and instructions of parents, tutors, and leaders; and that the things themselves are indefensible on the foot of reason? And this seems the most charitable construction his words will bear, which otherwise must be intended to undermine Christianity itself; and indeed the following quotation would almost,

almost, if not fully, justify the latter interpretation, for he adds, p. 29.—“ Once more, as the rational
 “ believer can never take upon him to pronounce
 “ himself inviolably secure in his adherence to the
 “ cause he has espoused, so neither can he pro-
 “ mise himself *that complacency, and satisfaction*
 “ *of mind*, resulting from the consciousness of
 “ such a faith, which is the blessed fruit of that
 “ true and genuine faith I contend for. For
 “ let your common experience determine, whe-
 “ ther that person does not enjoy his belief
 “ with much greater tranquillity, and confidence
 “ of spirit, who never asked himself one single
 “ question about it, than he who by the most
 “ elaborate discussions, and busiest search, has
 “ attained to the utmost degree of moral assurance
 “ in the matter.” What is all this, but saying,
 that ignorance and enthusiasm, and the mere pre-
 judice of education, are preferable to knowledge,
 and the most rational persuasion, obtained by an
 impartial examination? And what is the infer-
 ence, but that Mahometanism is as true as the
 Gospel? or, in short, that any religion, of any
 country, in which a man has been educated, is in-
 fallibly true, because he has never had any doubt
 about it? But he goes on—“ The philosophical
 “ believer, who built his faith originally on the
 “ force of arguments, may possibly find these ar-
 “ guments not so readily occur to his memory in
 “ those gloomy hours, when he has the most oc-
 “ casion for their support, and consequently will
 “ be liable, at every turn, to his misgivings and
 “ distrusts about the sufficiency of those reason-
 “ ings he cannot, for the present, so well recol-
 “ lect;

" left; whilst he that has once arrived at the
 " full, and lasting assurance of a just, and heaven-
 " ly faith, can never be to seek, at any time, for
 " the grounds and motives of his conviction and
 " dependance; having never, for his part, dealt
 " at all in the evidence of reason, he can never
 " possibly have the stress of his conclusions di-
 " minished or obscured by a review, or be at
 " any loss to recover their original force at plea-
 " sure; but must always have the same basis of
 " his hopes alike established, and therefore must,
 " to the end, be secure of enjoying all that peace
 " of mind which *the world*, and carnal intelli-
 " gence *cannot give*, without any the least inter-
 " ruption from the caprice of thought, or com-
 " plexion."

It cannot be denied, that there have been, and
 are many instances of weak enthusiasts, who have
 had, or pretended to have such extraordinary and
 full assurances, as rational believers do not boast
 of; but then it is also true, that there are many
 other instances, where the same kind of enthu-
 siasm has produced as groundless despondings, and
 even despair itself in all its horrors; for its opera-
 tions are uncertain, and various, according to the
 passions, circumstances, state, and temperament of
 the subject; and none but a madman would pre-
 fer its guidance, and influence to that of calm,
 dispassionate reason, in any affairs of importance,
 and especially in that of the greatest of all; and,
 in truth, the misguided zeal of enthusiasm has
 produced more terrible calamities among mankind,
 than any other cause whatever.

Page 49. " We find our Master ever disclaim-
 " ing, with the severest resentment, all followers
 " of that complexion [*i. e. such as were desirous*
 " *of seeing a miracle done by him, as Herod and*
 " *others*] and no temper checked, and discouraged
 " with so constant an aversion, as this of, (as it
 " is approbiously termed,) *seeking a sign*. There
 " cannot be, I think, a stronger and more ex-
 " press reproof to all such notions and contractions
 " of his good offices, than that he makes use of,
 " in jealousy of heart, upon being applied to for
 " an instance of this extraordinary assistance, in
 " favour of the nobleman's child—*unless you see*
 " *signs and wonders* (says he) *you will not believe.*—
 " Indeed, so far from having any the least con-
 " nexion with the thought of procuring disciples
 " from the influence of the spectacle, that a cer-
 " tain degree (and that no ordinary one) of pre-
 " vious confidence, and persuasion, appears to have
 " been constantly stipulated for before-hand, to
 " entitle them to have their applications at all
 " listened to, or regarded; and to be the *sole*
 " measure, and rule of dispensing these occasion-
 " al favours, wherever we find them conferred,
 " it was still first perceiving that *the patient had*
 " *faith to be healed*. Wherever there was the least
 " room to distrust such a preparatory provision,
 " he seems to capitulate, and guard, with es-
 " pecial care, that a miracle should not be, even
 " accidentally, a means of conversion by dismiss-
 " ing the petitioner with a conditional remedy
 " which was to take effect only in proportion to
 " his present qualifications of the kind, and of
 " which his success was to be the sure test; ac-
 " cording

“ *cording to your faith be it unto you, were the*
 “ *terms; as elsewhere he attributes professedly*
 “ *the whole efficacy of a miracle, that had taken*
 “ *place, to that single preliminary, Thy faith*
 “ *(that of which I found thee already possessed)*
 “ *hath made thee whole.*”

Very strong insinuation, that more was owing to the credulity of patients and spectators, than to the reality of the miracles. But surely he forgets how often Christ appeals to them himself as the surest proof of his divine mission.—*If ye believe not me, believe the works*; with many similar passages. He employs many pages in proving (according to his scheme) that neither reason, nor the miracles of the apostolic age (which, as he asserts, cannot now be evidence to us) nor human learning, with leisure, and abilities, and with all those assistances which are afforded by others, the best qualified, are, together, sufficient to produce a proper saving faith; and, after having suggested that the true, and only foundation, is the immediate inspiration of the Holy Ghost to each individual—follows this paragraph, viz.

“ I have been very short and reasonable in the
 “ computation of my demands, that I might
 “ come the sooner to remark upon the conse-
 “ quence, and ask, in a word, to what purpose
 “ all these extraordinary ingredients of sense and
 “ learning, and leisure, thus almost miraculously
 “ assembled together in our supposed exami-
 “ ners? Why, to enable, at last, one, perhaps, in
 “ ten thousand, to form something of a *plausible*
 “ *conjecture* upon the subject, and very possibly
 “ (what the most ingenious students, who have
 “ gone

“ gone that way to work, have often had the
 “ hard lot to fall into) a damnable one.”

What the author would, here, insinuate, is too plain to need a comment.

Page 69. He proceeds—“ Can any thing
 “ be more natural, upon hearing of a rational
 “ faith, than to interrogate (*with the greatest*
 “ *simplicity*) after our church catechism? *Why*
 “ *then are infants baptised when by reason of their*
 “ *tender age they cannot possibly pretend to know*
 “ *any thing of the matter?* To represent this
 “ whole proceeding justly, according to the laws
 “ of reason, would be to give a very injurious
 “ image of that heavenly mystery. For this ar-
 “ ticle (might the invidious objector say) without
 “ knowing it, to believe they know not what;
 “ and this act, which is properly no act at all,
 “ is received as something, to all intents and
 “ purposes complete in itself, and comprising the
 “ whole sum and extent of a just persuasion.
 “ Their living longer afterwards, to be capable
 “ of being informed *what it is* they have promised
 “ to believe, may possibly endanger, but cannot
 “ add to the terms of their contracted happiness.
 “ The merits of the most finished conviction are
 “ already theirs by imputation; their claim to
 “ heaven stands already allowed, and millions
 “ are accordingly, *we know*, actually saved upon
 “ the strength of the mere ceremony, without
 “ ever having had the capacity of exerting one
 “ single thought upon that, or any other subject.
 “ So far are they, all the while, from knowing
 “ any thing *why*, that they do not so much as

“ know *what* they believe, or, indeed, that they
 “ do believe any thing at all.”

Now if this author were a Quaker, or a Baptist, or of any other persuasion rejecting infant baptism, what he here says would be not very inconsistent; but if he is really persuaded that infant baptism, as prescribed by the church of England, is enjoined by the Gospel, (which is what he pretends; for in p. 9. already quoted, his words on this very subject are, *such is the pleasure, and ordinance of God himself in this point*;) then, after removing the mask, that is, the irony under which he shelters himself, it may surely, without a breach of charity, be pronounced, that he cannot be a believer of this Gospel, which, by his own account, enjoins such absurdities, unless he is content to pass for the wildest of enthusiasts. And he confirms this judgment of himself by his very next words, p. 70, which are—“ I could well enlarge, if occasion were, upon this head, and reduce the whole system, by each particular article, to that uncouth image, which, through the false medium of reason, it must ever strike upon our senses.” And then he refers his reader to Lord Bacon’s paradoxes, in confirmation of what he has advanced.

To shew the justness of the above remark on the character of this author, and his book, take the following passage, p. 75. “ But for the strongest explanation of the truth of what I am suggesting, that reason cannot possibly have any thing to do upon these occasions, let me recommend you only, for one moment, into some assembly of the faithful at their devotions. Repair,
 “ I say,

" I say, to the real scene of religious worship, and
 " contemplate there in your mind what passes
 " in your view, and the nature of the proceedings;
 " a numerous congregation, the votaries of an
 " extensive district, and their strict concurrence,
 " to the nicest punctilio, in all the doctrinal points
 " there uttered, and bring me ingenuously your
 " true judgment upon the matter. Is it possible
 " that you will assert, that this harmonious flock
 " are thus altogether really giving a *rational assent*
 " to all these curious articles, and profound
 " theorems, when your experience, in the mean
 " time, assures you, that the generality of these
 " unanimous confessors have never, in their whole
 " lives, bestowed one single thought, in a spe-
 " culative way, upon the truth or falshood of
 " that long train of propositions they so liberally
 " avow? You must needs readily grant the con-
 " trary, and fall, of course, into my easy ac-
 " count of this strange proceeding, owning, that
 " it can be only the effect of the *same spirit*, that
 " from the lips of them all, contrives to *speak*
 " *the same thing*; that by this means, though
 " men cannot be all of one opinion, they may of
 " one faith, which they hold not in unity of
 " understanding, but as our liturgy well ex-
 " presses it, in *the bond of peace, and unity of*
 " *spirit*. A distinction that can alone justify the
 " practice, which must be otherwise unavoidably
 " liable to reproach for its absurdity, and render
 " its abettors very deservedly obnoxious to the
 " apostles censure of rearing altars to an unknown
 " God."

Can

Can any one read this quotation and not see the sneer throughout, not only in the general sense of it, but in the peculiar language, and expression also? And is it not evident, (as was observed at the beginning) that creeds, and articles, confessions and catechisms of human composition, and of human imposition, are the things which expose Christianity to the contempt, and the scoffs of unbelievers? It is indeed a melancholy consideration, that the essence of this religion should suffer, in the eyes of the world, by attacks upon such things as are really no parts of it; at the same time that it might be well, and effectually defended, if its advocates would content themselves with what is alone defensible, the pure Gospel itself, and honestly give up all human forms of every kind. But the misfortune is, that many who would otherwise be very capable of the task, do still however, from their education, retain some of these, which, being blended with their more authentic tenets, might expose them to the assaults of a subtle adversary; while others, who by their station in life, finding themselves obliged to defend, and maintain all that is established by law, as well as what is established by the Gospel only, are particularly cramped by their own subscriptions, from which they think it, at least, not decent to depart, whatever latitude they may, in their own private judgment, allow themselves. Inasmuch that few who are able, and, also, free from these prejudices, and difficulties, are left to undertake the cause; and even if such should ever so effectually vindicate it, the generality of Christians themselves would hardly be contented, unless some of their favourite

favourite systems were adopted, or interwoven with it.

Page 90. In speaking of Boyle's lectures, he says, " If the motives of the credibility of Christianity may be once innocently proposed to examination, they may possibly be innocently rejected too. Horrid consequence this! and yet but just. For the very making it a question, the very advising me to look into it, to form a judgment, both supposes and allows my doubts for the time."

What is this, but saying, in effect, that Christianity has, really, no better foundation than other religions in which any happens to have been educated, in any age, or country in the world? or that it is impious, and damnable to examine any, or that *all*, or *none* (ever) were of divine authority?

Ibid. he goes on, " Now, if infidelity be indeed justifiable any one moment of our lives, who shall tell me what moment it ceases to be so? Who shall take upon him to determine the critical point, when longer want of conviction becomes criminal? or settle the competent time we ought to be satisfied in?" *He continues—* " O, says the Rationalist in return, as long as we are thus actually employed in the search, we may make ourselves perfectly easy in that point; God will, on his part, never certainly expect more of us than he enables us to perform. A sincere desire to know and do his will must supply, till his good time, the deficiency of the actual knowledge."

And

And the Rationalist (as he with seeming contempt calls such an one) has answered very properly, that is, has said all that can, in justice, be expected, or required. He proceeds, " So that
 " if we can disbelieve, with a safe conscience,
 " we shall do as well without it, as with it :—
 " if the allowance of our own conscience be a
 " sufficient security, it must be equally so under
 " every profession, and then farewell to the no-
 " tion of one only true, and saving faith, and all
 " the consequent care to discover it.—The sin-
 " cere disbelief of one single person, were evident-
 " ly incompatible with the very nature and cur-
 " rency of this our established law, an assent to
 " which is so peremptorily required, under the
 " heaviest penalty, of all persons whatsoever, with-
 " out any kind of reservation, or distinction of cir-
 " cumstances; which would never have been the
 " case, if any one could have been incapacitated,
 " without any default of his own, for the giving
 " such an assent."

According to this representation, every man, born in any age, or country, who has never been so happy as to hear of Jesus Christ, and his Gospel, must infallibly be damned; as well as every one under any other incapacity, of whatsoever nature, of receiving, and believing this religion: but if this were really a just representation of any revelations, pretending to divine authority, must not every thinking man, upon the least reflection, reject it as unworthy of God, and utterly inconsistent with all his attributes, especially of that God *whose tender mercies are over all his works, who hateth nothing that he has made,* and who ap-
 3 peals

peals to man *that his ways are equal*? But why does this author thus represent, but to shew the absurdity of such schemes, which, however he may seem to adopt, it is plain, he designs to expose; and to persuade the professors of this religion, in general, to more candour, and protestants in particular to more consistency with such principles as they find themselves obliged to assert, and maintain against catholics, and all other bigotted enthusiasts. For it is most evident, by his manner of treating the subject (throughout) that he is himself, a Christian upon very different principles, or else that he is no Christian at all; and it would be injurious to his good sense to suppose otherwise, nor is it to be doubted but that he would be so understood.

Page 96, he says—" Believe me, they knew
 " what they did, they understood the nature of
 " their religion well, and consulted her interest
 " wisely, who have constantly committed to the
 " flames the pestilential writings of infidels, and
 " their persons too, whenever it has been in their
 " power, as a sacrifice due to the safety of souls,
 " and to prevent any dangerous tendency from
 " the communication of such alarms. This, I
 " say, has ever been the well-judged practice a-
 " mongst the greater and more prudential part of
 " the champions for Christianity, however some
 " daring spirits of them have ventured inad-
 " visedly to depart from the salutary caution, and
 " taken upon them, to the great dishonour, often,
 " of the advocate, and prejudice of the cause, to
 " expose themselves thus unnecessarily to all the
 " rude attacks of ingenious malice, to enter the lists

“ unprivileged, against private reasoners, and join
 “ issue with them, in their own way. That such
 “ condescensions to methods, and laws so foreign to
 “ the subject, must ever prove fatal to religion, is e-
 “ vident enough, if what I have been all this while
 “ advancing of its nature, and evidence, has indeed
 “ the least foundation, or support. And amidst all
 “ the Rationalist’s extravagant, and chimerical no-
 “ tions of, I know not what, strict justice in the case,
 “ and an equal indulgence due to the advocates
 “ on both sides, it is not, surely, to be seriously ex-
 “ pected, even by his romantic self, that the well-
 “ grounded zeal of an enlightened preacher of the
 “ Gospel should really permit him to counte-
 “ nance, thus equitably in his own defiance, all
 “ the vain pretensions of these industrious mi-
 “ nisters of darkness in their turn, and not rather
 “ laudably oppose, to the utmost, all establish-
 “ ments of blasphemous lectures against the truth
 “ of religion, and the erecting of common pul-
 “ pits, and privileges for antichrist, avowedly to
 “ communicate its poison. All which, if religion
 “ were indeed a rational institution, were, it must
 “ be confessed, no more than reasonable concessions,
 “ and the only method for cultivating a proper
 “ knowledge of her pretensions, and veracity.”

Is not all this asserting, in the fullest manner,
 that the Christian religion is entirely indefensible
 on the foundation of reason, and common sense?
 But then, by the Christian religion, he means
 human establishments. Now if it were true, that
 all the established religions in the world were inde-
 fensible, that would not, in the least, affect pure
 primitive Christianity. He maintains, in effect,
 that

that this religion is founded on mere enthusiasm, and cannot be defended, and supported, but by the secular power, with the most determined persecution: but does he not know, that though no persecutions, perhaps, have ever been more bloody, and unrelenting, than those of professed Christians against other Christians; yet that these have always been for creeds, articles, confessions, and such like, all of human invention; and an assent, to them required, only by human authority? That *Cranmer*, *Ridley*, and thousands of other martyrs, had never suffered, if they had been required to subscribe the New Testament only! And that without the impositions of such human authority, there never would, nor could have been any persecutions, by Christians, of Christians, for real Christianity neither needs, nor knows any such diabolical force, or violence, but is *mild, peaceable, gentle, easy to be entreated*. And how did this religion make its way, and support itself before it was under the protection of the civil magistrate? How! why, by its own native, genuine light, and power, and strength, and spread far and wide without human assistance, or interposition, 'till it became not only worthy the notice and attention of Constantine, but even of his policy to countenance, and embrace it; and had he been wise, or good enough to have protected its professors, only, against foreign injuries, without interposing any farther, posterity would have had much more reason to have blessed his memory, than for all the worldly emoluments he annexed to the profession of it. So that it is true in fact, notwithstanding all the pretences of this author,

and in direct contradiction to his assertions at the beginning of this quotation, *that those who understand the nature of this religion best*, and consult its interests most wisely, will never have occasion to recur to human policy, and, least of all, to force, and violence, and persecution for its support.

Page 98. " We are taught, in our liturgy, to
 " pray that God would give our magistrates *grace*
 " *to maintain truth*; which surely implies too a
 " previous grace already given to determine it.
 " This consciousness of their own inspired cer-
 " tainty, is the only justifiable warrant that could
 " be, in nature, for such a proceeding. If there
 " were the least *possibility* of their being *mistaken*
 " themselves, they could never answer it, in any
 " light, to God, or man, thus to discourage, or
 " restrain their fellows from enquiries, so essen-
 " tial to their forming a belief on any just founda-
 " tion.—If we will suppose, whenever we see
 " him (a magistrate) exerting such an authority,
 " that our prayers have taken effect in his behalf,
 " and that he is ever prevented in his choice, by
 " the unerring instructions of the holy spirit.
 " From no other principle, doubtless, would
 " these *nursing fathers* take upon them to interest
 " themselves so far in the sentiments of their
 " wards, as to tempt them into the right way by
 " professed bribes, and encourage the faithful, by
 " throwing the conveniencies of life into the
 " scale. The making it thus men's *temporal in-*
 " *terest* to be persuaded of one particular way;
 " would be an unformountable obstacle in the
 " way of all fair examination, and for ever fatal

" to the use of reason, if she were to be concern-
 " ed. There could not, taking things in that light,
 " be a more odious and flagrant instance of ab-
 " surd partiality, cruelty, and oppression, than
 " the imposing of any prescribed opinions, as the
 " terms of society; nor could humanity justify,
 " in any degree, all those wholesome severities,
 " with which they now second the certain awards
 " of heaven, but that they must appear to be
 " most monstrously wicked, and unjust, if reason
 " were our appointed guide, since it might then
 " frequently happen, that men might incur the
 " severest punishments by the most innocent con-
 " duct, and for actions absolutely out of their own
 " power."

One cannot wish a more able pleader in behalf
 of universal toleration, and against every kind,
 and degree of persecution, whether positive, or
 negative. And, by the very expressions, and par-
 ticular epithets he has chosen, it is manifest, that
 he is warmly interested in the cause, and has only
 covered, and shielded himself with irony, that he
 might the more freely, and without restraint, say
 all that he thought proper on the subject. Now,
 suppose the irony entirely set aside, has he not fully
 proved, that neither magistrate, nor any other
 man, or men, have a right to exercise any autho-
 rity (or at all to interpose) in matters of religion,
 that is, in respect to the *credenda*, but that it
 is an affair solely between God and a man's own
 conscience, and that he is accountable to no one
 else, nor ought to suffer any the least injuries for
 his opinions, as such. In the next pages he ex-
 poses the absurdity of obliging the young students

at

at universities, to subscribe articles, as if they had already sufficiently examined, and had formed their creed, for life, never after to depart from it, or any part of it; and represents the horrible temporal inconveniencies that will certainly attend their ever discovering the least doubt on any point for the future.—And then he proceeds to shew the folly and unfairness of prejudicing children in their home education.

Page 110.—“ Such a scheme, as that of unanimity upon this subject, can be by no other possible method to be effected, than that I have been contending for, of a *constant and particular revelation imparted separately, and supernaturally to every individual*. And how much more absolutely satisfactory then must it be to a *Christian seeker*, if, upon searching into his own Scripture account of the matter, there appears to be indeed *this very method*, which we might so naturally expect, there exactly described, and set forth to us for the purpose?”

If by personal inspiration only, it may as well be communicated (that way) to such as are born out of Christendom; and then, it is no advantage to be within the pale of the church, and all the benefits of education are nothing in this view of the matter.

He goes on—“ If all that I have hitherto been urging may have had the wished effect to remove only the contracted incumbrance of this one fatal and fundamental prejudice—*That it is by reasoning, that you are to come to the knowledge of all necessary truths*, my only remaining
“ part

“ part is, to offer up my most ardent prayers in
 “ your behalf at the throne of grace, *not for*
 “ *leisure* for you to consult, or *sagacity* to appre-
 “ hend all the acute lessons of a *Hammond*, or a
 “ *Grotius* upon the subject, but that God would
 “ be pleased *himself* to illuminate, and irradiate
 “ your mind, with a perfect, and thorough con-
 “ viction of the truth of his holy Gospel; and
 “ that the same holy spirit that first dictated that
 “ divine law, would powerfully set to his seal,
 “ and attest its authority in your heart, that he
 “ would be graciously pleased to vouchsafe to re-
 “ new, once more, his disregarded notice, and
 “ establish in you an uncontrovertible know-
 “ ledge of its veracity, such an one as that (with
 “ the enlightened apostle) you may at once, *be-*
 “ *lieve, and be sure that Jesus was the Christ.*
 “ Such a full, and home-felt persuasion, as will
 “ render superfluous all your further care, and
 “ purposed labour in the search, by fixing you
 “ *in the happy instant*, a true, a zealous, and un-
 “ alterable disciple of Christianity.”

He has, before, in several places, insisted on
 the absolute necessity of all men thinking exactly
alike, and all perfectly right. And, here, of such
 an unanimity as, he very truly says, can be by no
 other possible method effected, *than by a constant,*
and particular revelation imparted separately, and
supernaturally, to every individual; but where has
 he authority for so peremptory and so extraordi-
 nary an assertion? And does it appear in fact
 (among even the best of Christians) that this is
 the case? Alas! so far otherwise, that we may well
 cry out, if this be indeed necessary, *who then,*

or

or how *few then* can be saved? Perhaps no two, in the world, think exactly alike; nor can this be necessary in the opinion of any but fanatics, and enthusiasts; and when we are exhorted to be of one mind, that unanimity is plainly intended of the heart, rather than the head, as the *strong* are admonished to *bear with the weak*, and not to offend such for whom Christ died, notwithstanding they differ in sentiments from those others said to be stronger; and though one thinks that to be lawful which another does not, neither of them is condemned, it is only recommended to both, to follow their own proper consciences, because to him only that thinketh it sin, to him it is sin, nor is any thing more than this one article required of all absolutely, to believe and acknowledge, viz. that Jesus is the Christ.

On considering the latter paragraph of this quotation, detached from, and unconnected with, the rest of his book, it is difficult, and almost uncharitable not to believe him piously in earnest; but when all the foregoing parts, of this same book, are laid together, and the peculiar language of it remarked, it is as difficult not to consider it, as a profane and impious piece of mockery, and though (in Christian charity) one may forbear to pronounce it such, yet, be it the one, or the other, the doctrine pretended to be inculcated is equally absurd in itself, in that it destroys all *moral agency*, as well as all use of our reasoning faculties, and represents mankind as mere machines, and, in no respect, such kind of creatures as God Almighty has been pleased to make them, and is utterly inconsistent with all the methods of his

2

pro-

providence in dealing with them, and not less so, with all the notices of his will declared, and all the ways of his treating them in the Scriptures both of the Old, and New Testament.

Page 113.—“ Indeed the sitting down to examine into the truth of religion at this time of day, cannot but appear, to my sense, a very strange kind of language from one in your situation. From a native of *California*, or the *Cape*, there might perhaps be nothing so extraordinary or unbecoming, to hear of such a proposal. But you disclaim, it seems, in your philosophical sufficiency, all the partial advantages of your birth, and education, (though many would esteem themselves not a little favoured in the distinction:) but the notion of *local merit*, as you express yourself, the being born to *particular privileges*, and *beholden to accident*, as you call it, for *true opinions*, are circumstances your rational spirit can, by no means, digest, which is as much as to say, [That you are resolved to reap no benefit from the covenant of grace, except you are to have the prescribing the means yourself; and that you will be content to accept of salvation only upon your own terms, and in your own way.] For tell me, you that are so industriously looking out for the proofs of Christianity, are you not then (the while) a Christian? Are you not, at this instant, a baptized, and covenanted Christian? And is not this being indeed a Christian to all intents and purposes already, and in the way that God himself has prescribed us?”

This seems his favourite topic; again upon infant baptism, and the received orthodox notions concerning it, with the miraculous effects attending it; but does this author, really, believe, that the baptized infant of a Christian, dying, is in a better state, or shall be more happy hereafter, than that of a *Californian*, or of the *Cape*? Or that there is any ground to think so, either from the principles of natural, or revealed religion? In the latter it is asserted, *that God is no respecter of persons, and that he hateth nothing that he has made, and that his tender mercies are over all his works.* Besides, if it were true that peculiar privileges, and advantages were annexed to the ceremony of baptism, does it follow, on any principles, that when a baptized person is arrived to manhood, he should entirely depend on such advantages, and never concern himself farther, during the remainder of his life? Is he so certainly, and indubitably in possession of *true opinions* by the accident of his birth, and parentage, his baptism, and education, that it is not only needless, but dangerous to make any enquiry about them? And would not this have been the case wheresoever he had been born? Or must he as certainly have been damned had he been born in Turkey, or China, or in Africa?

There is nothing in the New Testament to countenance such strange opinions, but its whole scope, and tenor, is of, quite, another complexion; there we are admonished *to examine whether these things are so*, and those who did, were commended for this inquisitive disposition; and we are directed to work out our salvation with *fear and trembling*,

trembling, and leaving the things that are behind to press forward, to be watchful and diligent to make our calling, and election sure, lest after having begun well, we should become castaways; not as if we had already attained, but still to press forward towards the mark, &c. not to be weary in well doing, for he only that perseveres to the end, shall be saved: and in the account of the last day, the come ye blessed, and go ye cursed, are pronounced not upon the baptized, or unbaptized, as such, no, nor upon the orthodox believers, or unorthodox, as such, but upon the morally good, and morally bad.

He adds, page 114.—“Does not plainly the infant’s belief answer as effectually all the demands of the Gospel, as that of the first proficient, and highest *graduate in Divinity*? And is it not accepted (if not preferred) as at least an equal claim to all its rewards with that of the best of them?”

But if this were true respecting the infant, as an infant, does it follow, that nothing more is required of an adult? And indeed it is directed, by our church, that he should *now* discharge his sponsors, and take upon himself, *personally*, the obligations under which they had laid themselves on his account; and ought he not, therefore, to examine for himself, that he may see, and know what he undertakes? Or is this a matter of less importance than any other whatsoever? for in all others, he would certainly act in this manner.

He adds, “—Be content, in God’s name, to be even as good a Christian only, as your *sister*,
F 2 “whom

“ whom you cannot doubt to be equally entitled
 “ to all the means of grace, and hopes of glory,
 “ with the *proudest philosopher*, who is even *wiser*
 “ *than the aged*, because a meek, and humble dis-
 “ ciple of that true *wisdom which cometh from*
 “ *above*. No, if we do but *once heartily and*
 “ *truly believe*, it is a very invidious, as well as
 “ superfluous distinction, to concern ourselves a-
 “ bout, whether we know, by the test of our
 “ understandings, *upon what grounds* we believe,
 “ or not.”

We are indeed directed to pray with the *under-*
standing, but *it seems*, we may believe *without* ;
 in short, he might as well have added, that since
 it is needless to concern ourselves *upon what grounds*,
 or *why* we believe, we need, as little, concern
 ourselves *what* we believe ; for if we know not on
 what grounds, it is plain we may believe *without*
grounds, and if so, we may believe any thing.
 And all this he would seem to maintain on the
 principles of the church of England, at least, if
 not of the Gospel itself.

He goes on—“ Be satisfied, henceforth, that
 “ there is *a kind of evidence*, of power beyond
 “ what reason can ever pretend to furnish, such
 “ as brings with it that cordial peace, and affu-
 “ rance of mind, to which all *conviction, by hu-*
 “ *man means*, is an utter stranger, such as you
 “ see can enable your pious mother, without any
 “ of the repeated advantages of academic insti-
 “ tution, to pronounce with so much peremptory
 “ justice on all religious cases, and reprove, with
 “ so good a grace, all the well glossed heresies of
 “ a lettered *Clarke*.”

What a sneer! And would not this good woman, *with the same kind of evidence*, and with the same assurance (to which, as he says, all conviction *by human means* is an utter stranger) have as peremptory pronounced, had she been bred a Quaker, a Roman catholic, or even a Mahometant? and no doubt, with equal piety, and sincerity. Indeed, as to the assurance of her mind, and the peremptoriness of her decisions, they are always the effects of ignorance, bigotry, and enthusiasm; for those who have most knowledge, candour, and reason, are never so positive, but find sufficient cause for diffidence of their own judgment, and deference to that of others, and are therefore inclined to make generous allowances for difference in opinion. And if he would pretend, or have it thought that he pretends, she must necessarily be in the right, because thus confidently assured; the same may be said of the wildest fanatics that ever existed.

Page 115.—“ It should, one would imagine, be consideration sufficient to deter your engaging in your present enterprize, that, in the first place, this reason will, in all probability, never have the effect proposed of confirming our faith.”

How so? Is it then a faith, for which (in truth) no good reason can be assigned? Has it no foundation but that of education only? If this be really the case, it has no better title to our regard than any of the false religions in the world; and, on such a discovery, the wisest conduct would be to give it up. But if it has a better foundation, it may surely be discovered; and if so, then it is well

well worth the while to examine, and a confirmation, in consequence of such examination, will be a valuable acquisition.

Ibid.—" And, in the next place, it will be superfluous, if it has, (*i. e. the effect proposed of confirming you, &c.*) and that you are, at present, as well without it; for—what if even this caution of mine against the attempt should chance, at last, to find you already actually convinced, by reason in form, of the truth of all these matters as they stand related to us; what conclusion can you take upon you to draw from the success you have personally found in the experiment? Can you assure yourself—that you shall continue what you are for an hour together? Or—may not the same perspicuous proofs that have determined you to pronounce so confidently for their conclusiveness, possibly take a very different turn, in different hands, affect your next neighbour in a quite contrary light, and leave him as confirmed an infidel?—Though you, by the particular advantages of your turn, and education, may be indeed able to do that justice to the merits of the cause, as to trace them in all this cogent force to the amount of a demonstration; of what significance and weight can you expect all this to prove towards the affecting of minds altogether unversed in the practice of drawing inferences, and deductions, and which must therefore necessarily continue all the while in the dark, even amidst all those glaring lights which your discerning sight can discover round them?—Therefore men should be very cautious

" tious of being instrumental in the tempting
 " any into sceptical controversies, there being,
 " as I have before remarked, no provision made
 " either by God, or man, for sincere infidelity,
 " as a possible consequence of a fair enquiry into
 " the merits of religion. *He that believeth not,*
 " *shall be damned.* No exceptions, or allowances
 " whatsoever, for any professing to have used all
 " possible means of information, and remaining
 " still dissatisfied."

He manifestly shews, that the very evidence for
 Christianity is (in his opinion) weak, uncertain,
 and capable of a contrary interpretation to its
 disadvantage, even so much as probably to con-
 firm his neighbour an infidel. And how fully
 soever he may possibly be convinced himself by
 the proofs to be collected for that purpose, it is
 by no means proper to lay them before such as
 are not accustomed to draw inferences, and de-
 ductions; whereas it must needs be, that the
 proofs in question are of a nature suited to the
 commonest capacities, are few, and obvious, and
 require no other kind of deductions, than such as
 all people make, in the ordinary affairs of life,
 without so much as knowing that they reason lo-
 gically, though they do so every day. And in-
 deed, if religion were not a subject suited to the
 capacity of all, they could not, nor would have
 any concern in it, or be at all accountable about
 it. Nor is there such danger, as he would be
 thought to apprehend, in putting people on ex-
 amination, either of their becoming infidels by
 that search, or of their eternal perdition if they
 should; while honestly, and sincerely employing
 all

all the means in their power to discover the will of God, and their own duty, with a firm resolution to obey him, and perform it, as far as they shall be enabled to acquire the knowledge of them. And as to the denunciation, *He that believeth not, shall be damned*, let it be understood as any such expression would be interpreted in any other book, that is, according to the rules of common sense, and the meaning is plainly this, and no more, that, he (among those to whom the Gospel is preached with sufficient evidence) that shall reject it, shall be condemned; not those who never heard of the name of Christ, or to whom this Gospel was never properly proposed; for such have not so much as heard the denunciation itself, and therefore can never fear it: if these are condemned, it is for disobeying the light they have, and not for disobeying that which they have not; for every one shall be judged according to that which he hath, and not according to that which he hath not. And if (as this author says) *no exceptions, or allowances whatsoever are made in the text*, the reason, in this, and an hundred other such cases, is not because none will be made, but because the nature of the thing speaks for itself, to the common apprehensions of all mankind, and who always, in every other case, necessarily, make such interpretation, else no language would be intelligible without perpetual circumlocution: but such explanation in the present and some other subjects would be altogether needless, were it not for superstitious systems built on more detached sentences; which systems these writers find it easy to demolish, and then triumph as if they

they had destroyed the very foundation of our religion; and the misfortune is, that those who have adopted these systems (as the true sense of the Gospel) know not how to defend it, because they dare not give up what they weakly apprehend to be essential to Christianity; whereas, had they learnt their religion from the Scriptures only, and made use of their understandings in reading them, as in reading other books which they think themselves interested to comprehend, (and without the particular prejudices of education, which indeed are often great) they would not find such peculiar difficulties in answering their adversaries, nor would these (but for the systems above-mentioned) have such apparent advantages on their side, or rather (having no fair handle presented to them) would never have attempted the subject, as this very performance (or at least by far the greater part of it) is levelled at mere human institutions that have no warrant in Scripture.

Page 117. " But once more, what if, after
 " all (which may likewise possibly happen to be
 " the case) the powerful evidence on the side of
 " religion should indeed fail in its influence, and
 " not appear satisfactory enough to you to enforce
 " the profession of it? What if, on the other
 " hand, the specious glosses of infidelity should
 " possibly happen to obtain, at last, even in your
 " own opinion upon this scrutiny; if the plausible
 " pretext of ingenious sophistry should prove
 " so far of force in the question, as unhappily to
 " misguide your reason, by their artful representation
 " of the cause of error? Why, the since-

“ rity of your intention, *you say*, will acquit you,
 “ because you have done your best : your just
 “ judge, *you say*, can never expect of you to do
 “ more than is in your power, or to be convinced
 “ sooner. Which is as much as to say, that you
 “ will either do what God commands you, or
 “ take care to come prepared to justify your refusal,
 “ to his satisfaction ; never observing the
 “ while, that all your reasonings, of this stamp,
 “ are grounded upon the monstrous supposition,
 “ that God would enjoin a thing that you should
 “ be able to give solid, and substantial reasons for
 “ not complying with. Strangest delusion sure ! to
 “ go directly contrary to your Maker’s express
 “ command, and yet flatter yourself with hopes
 “ of being provided with a sufficient excuse for
 “ your disobedience. *Behold, to obey is better than*
 “ *sacrifice*, and to comply, than to be making
 “ apologies.”

Far otherwise, for it is from a sense of his obligation to comply with the will of God (when known) that he enters upon this examination, with the fullest resolution to receive whatever shall appear to be such. He does not see, is not convinced, that God has enjoined what he refuses to comply with, nor pretends that any reasons could justify him, in such a refusal ; on the contrary, believes he complies with God’s will, in rejecting whatever appears to want the proper evidence, that would certainly attend a divine institution, and is ready to embrace, with all humility, and the most unreserved obedience, whatsoever carries the marks of his authority.—And indeed, he cannot reject any pretended revelation on other grounds,

grounds, than for want of sufficient, and proper proof, of its being, really, what it pretends to be. And if this will not justify him, after a rational and impartial examination, to the best of his abilities, he must receive all, how contradictory and inconsistent soever, that offer themselves to his notice, and cannot be excused in rejecting any; but if it be said, in answer, that there can be but one such, truly divine, for God cannot contradict himself, what follows? Why surely, that we are to examine which, if any, carries with it the stamp of divine authority; so that the receiving one, and rejecting all the rest, manifestly must depend on such examination; for there are, and have been, many such, each of which has been received in some country, and by some people; as there is no one of them all that has not been rejected by some country, and some people, not excepting our own, and generally that of the country where people are born, are educated, and reside, is received as authentic by them: so that had we been born in Turkey, all the arguments throughout this whole book, would have been just as good, and conclusive *there*, for Mahometanism as, *here*, for Christianity; and therefore they are of no manner of weight, against a fair, and candid examination, without which a man may embrace the grossest absurdity for divine revelations, as many have done. And indeed without which, this nation itself had never been Christian, nor (after having received this religion under the sanction of popery) had ever become protestant, nor had the church of England (*seemingly* so extolled, and preferred in this very pamphlet)

phlet) been ever established ; nor the pious sister, and mother, of the person to whom it is addressed, been such sound, and orthodox protestant Christians. So that the writer argues most absurdly, and inconclusively, taken in any other light than as a continued irony : but so taken, his arguments appear to be the strongest that can well be proposed, against what he pretends to maintain.

But now suppose a man in Tartary, in Persia, or even in some parts of what is called Christendom, yet so unhappily situated with regard to the proper evidence, for the Gospel, as a divine revelation, that he is not convinced of its truth, what should be done in that case ? Should a man say he believes when he does not ? Should he make a profession, contrary to the dictates of his heart, of his best judgment, after the most deliberate, serious, and sincere search ? Is this his duty, is this what he is commanded to do ? *It would be indeed a monstrous supposition, that God should enjoin a thing that we should be able to give solid, and substantial reasons for not complying with* (as this writer says ;) but this monstrous supposition is his own, for he no where finds in the New Testament any such kind of injunction. Those who are required to believe, are always presumed to have abundant, and sufficient evidence before they give their assent ; and where such evidence is not afforded, faith is never required, *Wo ! unto thee Chorazin, wo ! unto thee Bethsaida, for if the mighty works which have been done in thee, had been done in Tyre, and Sidon, they would have repented long ago, &c.* with many, very many, other similar passages,

passages, all plainly intimating, that what is expected, and required, is always in proportion to the evidence afforded: hypocrisy cannot be acceptable to the Deity, professing, with the lips, to believe, when the heart contradicts that profession, cannot be the thing commanded, *My son, give me thine heart.* In short, God is just, and good, and cannot act in a manner inconsistent with his moral attributes. We know there are millions who never heard of the glad tidings of the Gospel, and many others to whom the notices of it have been so slight, and imperfect, and so blended with absurdity, as to afford no proper proofs of its divine original, as in China, Japan, and other countries; and perhaps, also, in some parts even of Christendom, such weak, and inconsistent systems have been adopted, and the people ignorant of any other Christianity, than that system, that many, even in these parts, have not been able to trace out a clear proof of its truth; it ought therefore to be concluded, on the whole, as a general maxim, that to whomsoever sufficient evidence has not been fairly proposed, of these, faith cannot, and will not be required, but they, and all others, will be judged righteously, and mercifully, by the all-wise, and good creator, and governor of the universe.

Nothing here advanced, is designed as any excuse for such as have the means of information in their power, and neglect those means, and least of all for such as are convinced, and make not the proper profession, or that do not lead lives answerable to their profession; against these the threatening is truly levelled, these indeed ought to apprehend,

prehend, and dread it, in its full force, for against such it is plainly denounced, nor could any other be intended.

He concludes with these words, " I shall now
 " release you from all further attendance, with
 " the most cordial admonition of one, who has
 " indeed a *father's* concern, and interest in all
 " your success. Its meaning, at least, I think
 " you must allow, whatever exceptions you
 " may possibly find to its authority. *My son, trust*
 " *thou in the Lord with all thy heart, and lean*
 " *not unto thine own understanding.*"

The admonition is very good, and its obvious meaning is, that you should place an entire confidence in God, and his providence, and not think that you are sufficient of yourself, or an independent being; and this sense of the words is confirmed by the next which follow, viz. *In all thy ways acknowledge God, and he shall direct thy paths*, Prov. iii. 5, 6. But how good soever the admonition may be, in itself, on what account is it applicable to the present subject? As to the words—" *lean not unto thine own understanding,*" they are evidently in opposition to the former part of the verse—" *trust in the Lord with all thy heart,*" as above explained,—and as confirmed by the subsequent verse, and cannot, with any propriety, be interpreted to signify, *make no use of your understanding in matters of religion*, which is the only interpretation that can serve the cause of this author.

And now the author of these observations hopes he has made it appear, that the piece in question is a continued irony throughout; and that

that (this mask being removed) the writer's arguments, how conclusive soever they may be against certain systems, and opinions, and practices, are never the less far from being so against real, primitive, gospel Christianity, which stands on another and better foundation, than that of human institution,

F I N I S.



...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

E I N I D

4 AP 65



